IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 6708 of 2005

Between:

1 Irfan Chavoosh, S/o. Shaik Ahmed,
H.No.17-4-477, Near Metharwadi, Outside, Debeerpura, Hyderabad.
2 Sahaik Ahmed Khader @ Khader Chavosh,
H.No.17-4-477, Near Metharwads, Outside, Debeerpura, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
2 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, South Zone, Hyderabad.
3 Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Task Force, South Zone, Hyderabad.
4 Station House Officer, Rain Bazar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate Writ, order or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in harassing the petitioner of close the business as illegal, arbitrary and much against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner to close his valid business and to pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.SRINIVASA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORAL ORDER:.

Heard both sides and at their request, this Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents are sitting in front of the shop run by the petitioner.

No reasons are forthcoming as to why the respondents are sitting in front of the shop of the petitioner nor there is any information as regards the kind of business, the petitioner is undertaking. Without any particulars and without any valid reasons, this court cannot entertain this Writ Petition.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, it is needles to observe that the police shall not unnecessarily sit in front of the shop of the petitioner. It is made clear that if there is any reason for the police to interfere with the business of the petitioner, they may as well do it, strictly in accordance with law. No costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 31.03.2005

Ka

To

1 The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
2 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, South Zone, Hyderabad.
3 Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Task Force, South Zone, Hyderabad.
4 Station House Officer, Rain Bazar, Hyderabad.

5. Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

6. Two CD Copies.

7. 1 CC to MR.JAGAPATHI

To